

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/745,061	12/20/2000	Jyh-Han Lin	CM03276J	7954
24273 75	90 06/07/2004		EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA, INC			ADAMS, JONATHAN R	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION		1	- ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LAW DEPT 8000 WEST SU			2134	
FT LAUDERD	AL, FL 33322		DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004	
		`		. /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

; · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	09/745,061	LIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan R Adams	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	001100pona01100 aaa. 000	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the year within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) definition and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communicatio ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 E 2a) This action is FINAL 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition of	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits i 453 O.G. 213.	s
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrate 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
••	her		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Some is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a line.	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic iority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/745,061

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 13, and 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Protecting Office Documents from Macro Viruses" (hereafter referred to as PODMV) in view of Traw et al., US Patent No. 5949877 (hereafter referred to as '877).

As to claims 1, 5, and 13:

- 3. PODMV teaches a digital certificate methods including a development software certification system comprising:
 - Sending a request for a development certificate to public certificate authority /
 Applicant for a digital certificate (Page 8, Line 27, PODMV)
 - Receiving a development certificate / Certification authority issues your digital certificate (Page 3, Line 18, PODMV)
 - Certificate specifying the developer identifier / Digital certificate contains
 information about who the certificate was issued to (Page 3, Line 20, PODMV)
 - Certificate specifying the development parameter / the certifying authority timestamps the key pair (Page 3, Line 41, PODMV)

Application/Control Number: 09/745,061

Art Unit: 2134

- Signing a software application with the development certificate / Digitally sign
 VBA projects (Page 2, Line 5, PODMV)
- Loading the signed software application onto the portable device / It is inherent to
 PODMV that the software is loaded and installed on the end user machine
- Portable device authenticating the development certificate with certificate
 authority / the certifying authority timestamps the key pair (Page 3, Line 41,
 PODMV), the certifying authority timestamp must be verified using the certificate
 authority
- Executing the software application if certificate and development parameter is valid / Any signature applied after the digital certificate expires is invalid (Page 3, Line 46, PODMV), MS office applications can verify a digital signature, and automatically disable macros that are invalid (Page 4, Line 35, PODMV) project macros with valid signatures may be executed
- 4. PODMV does not teach for the certificate to pertain to a specific device, where the request and the certificate would contain a device identifier. '877 teaches a digital certificate issued by a certificate authority for use with a specific device specified by a unique device identifier (Col. 6, Line 24, '877). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the unique device identifier of '877 with the digital certificate methods of PODMV. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the unique device identifier of '877 with the digital certificate methods of PODMV because unique device identifiers can be used to further check system compliance (Col 5, Line 39, '877) to aid in increased functionality.

Application/Control Number: 09/745,061 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

- 5. Not specifically taught in PODMV as modified above is for the request for a development certificate to include a digital certificate of the developer used as an ID. PODMV further teaches the use of digital certificates as an electronic counter part of an ID card. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the Identification digital certificate listed in PODMV to identify the potential developer for the developer digital certificate. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the Identification digital certificate listed in PODMV to identify the potential developer for the developer digital certificate because using secure electronic identification to obtain an alternate developers ID would be a convenient expedient method for identifying the certificate requesting developer.
- 6. Further, PODMV as modified above does not explicitly teach for the development content to be for a portable device. The examiner takes official notice as to using a portable device to use the development content. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a portable device with the development content. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use a portable device with the development content because it is very well known that devices considered to be portable have equivalent functionality to other devices.

7. As to claims 2, 6, and 14:

Development parameter includes validity period / the certifying authority timestamps the key pair (Page 3, Line 41, PODMV)

Application/Control Number: 09/745,061

Art Unit: 2134

8. Claims 3, 8, and 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PODMV in view of '877 in further view of Bowman et al., US Patent No 6460163 (hereafter referred to as '163).

As to claims 3, 8, and 16:

- 9. PODMV as modified above teaches a method for distributing developed content using digital certificates to indicate access authentication further using content development distribution parameters. PODMV does not teach to use a download counter as a content development distribution parameter. '163 teaches a developed content distribution system using distribution parameters including a limit on the number of downloads (Col 2, Line 17, '163). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the limit on the number of downloads distribution parameter in '163 with the method for distributing developed content of PODMV. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the limit on the number of downloads distribution parameter in '163 with the method for distributing developed content of PODMV because limiting the number of downloads would help reduce the chance that the content would be obsolete.
- 10. Claims 4, 7, 9-12, 15, 17, and 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PODMV in view of '877 in further view of '163 in further view of Beacon-Wireless.
- 11. As to claims 4, 9, and 17:

Application/Control Number: 09/745,061

Art Unit: 2134

PODMV as modified above teaches a method for distributing developed content via internet using digital certificates to indicate access authentication further using content development distribution parameters and. PODMV does not teach using wireless Internet for data communications. Beacon-Wireless teaches a wireless Internet data communication system for loading data. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the wireless Internet system with the invention of PODMV as modified above. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to because these Internet systems are compatible and provide more flexibility with portable devices.

12. As to claims 7 and 15:

Certificate includes time of day development parameter / the certifying authority timestamps the key pair (Page 3, Line 41, PODMV)

13. As to claims 10 and 18:

Generating the development certificate when the device identifier is an international mobile equipment identifier / It is inherent to the invention of PODMV as modified above that a development certificate be generated regardless of status of having an international mobile equipment identifier

14. As to claim 11:

Application/Control Number: 09/745,061

Art Unit: 2134

Disabling software if authenticating fails / Automatically disable macros that are invalid

(Page 4, Line 35, PODMV)

15. As to claim 12:

Signing software in a byte code format / It is inherent to the invention of PODMV that

the digital certificate be in a byte code format

Conclusion

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jonathan R Adams whose telephone number is (703)

305-8894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 10am to

6pm.

17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gregory Morse, can be reached on (703) 308-4789. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

GREGORY MORSE

Page 7

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100